## Without capital punishment our lives are less secure and crimes or violence increase. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

It is true that these days being in a society that where criminals and offenders find it conveinient to kill the other people can be unsecure and unsafe. While some individuals hold out this idea that there should be some strict laws such as capital punishment against killing average/ordinary/unsuspecting people, a number of human right activists and I believe that there are some conspicuious occaision where death penalty is unfair and unethical, albeit I intrinsically would like to be against of the capital punishment however much aggressive the crime is.

That some individuals fervently admire the capital punishment can be soaught in several factors. Firstly, by execution of a guilty person as such, numerous innocents' life can be saved. Secondly, in societal terms of societal, the fear of death can be much more influential in reducing the amount of delinquency in the community. As it is obvious people value life rather than death and efforts for survival from death can be considered an important issue which leads to the detrimental factor of committing crimes. The proponents of this idea do believe that especially in the case of some crimes which are unduly unexpected and negatively in the uncharted territory, the offenders should be executed. In this regard as the statistics show through death penalty, on average, five blameless people's life can be saved.

For many good reasons capital punishment should be abolished. That innocents are more likely to be convicted and killed can be considered the first and foremost reason. The protection of the clear people's life should be placed more importance. This can be undeniable that this kind of punishment is irreversible. Also, the execution of prisoners creates a violent culture and leads to encouraging of revenge. The Brutal nature of the death sentence can affect youngsters negatively, particularly the kids whose minds are as tabular rasa. In addition, capital punishment is not a good deterrent, when the crime rate is not necessarily reduced. Albeit, whether or not the death penalty did reduce the crime rate, would it be acceptable? Last but not least, the human right can be addressed in this regard. This can be clear that this conspicuous right is an inalienable factor. Every individuals who faces the death penalty, whatever they stand accused of, are still human beings. However much the society is outraged by their actions and would like to revile them even if they cross the line, they are still humans and no one have has this right to kill them. Do we want to join them?

In coclusion, although in some cases which are beyond the pale, the death penalty can be to some extent acceptable, from my point of view this kind of the revenge should be altered no matter how much time and capital inextensive the new methods are.

vahid